## EXHIBIT E33

Ca	<del>xse 3:16-md-02738-M/</del>	<del>AS-RLS Document 9867</del>	<del>7-28 Fi</del> l	<del>led 05/29/19 - Page 2 of 6 Pagel</del> [	<del>): 5<u>1,</u>778                                   </del>
			1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (C	240
			2		
1	SUPERIOR COURT OF	F NEW JERSEY	_		
2	LAW DIVISION: MIDE	DLESEX COUNTY	3	On behalf of the Defendants Imerys Talc America, Inc.; and	
3			4	Cyprus Amax Minerals Company, Inc.	:
4	STEPHEN LANZO, III, and KENDRA LANZO,	}	_	PRENDAN C. KRACINCKI, F.	
5	Plaintiffs,	{	5	BRENDAN G. KRASINSKI, Esq. Alston & Bird, LLP	
6	vs.	DOCKET NO.	6	4200 One Atlantic Center	
7	CYPRUS AMAX MINERALS	) MID-L-7385-16 AS )	7	1201 West Peachtree Street, NW Atlanta, Georgia 30309	
8	COMPANY, et al.,  Defendants.	}		brendan.krasinski@alston.com	
10		}	8	DENNIS 1 VALENZA Eco	
11			9	DENNIS J. VALENZA, Esq. Rawle & Henderson, LLP	
12	VOLUME	II		Widener Building	
13	CONTINUATION OF THE	DEDOCITION OF	10	One South Penn Square Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107	
14	WILLIAM E. LO		11	dvalenza@rawle.com	
15	HILLIAN E. EV	, 1115	12	(Appearance by telephone)	
16			'2		
17	October 24 9:13 a.		13		
18 19	9:13 a.	m.	14 15		
20	11555 Medlock Bridge	Road. Suite 100	16		
21	Johns Creek,	Georgia	17 18		
22			19		
23	Debra R. Luther, RMR		20		
24	Atlanta Report Georgia Certified C (866) 344	Court Reporters	21 22		
25	www.atlanta-repo		23		
	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459	9 www.atlanta-reporter.com	24 25		
				Atlanta Reporters, Inc. www.atla	inta-reporters.com
					inta repertore.com
1	APPEARANC	ES OF COUNSEL			246
	APPEARANC		1	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS	246
2		ES OF COUNSEL	1 2	·	246
2	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:	ES OF COUNSEL		<u> </u>	246
2 3 4	On behalf of the Plaintiffs: MOSHE MAIMON, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP	ES OF COUNSEL	2	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS	246
2	On behalf of the Plaintiffs: MOSHE MAIMON, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue 11th Floor	ES OF COUNSEL	2	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS	246
2 3 4	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  MOSHE MAIMON, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue 11th Floor New York, New York	ES OF COUNSEL :	2 3 4	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS  ExaminationPage	246
2 3 4 5	On behalf of the Plaintiffs: MOSHE MAIMON, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue 11th Floor	ES OF COUNSEL :	2 3 4 5	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS  ExaminationPage  Examination by Mr. Cooper	<b>246</b> 5
2 3 4 5 6	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  MOSHE MAIMON, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue 11th Floor New York, New York mmaimon@levylaw.co	ES OF COUNSEL :	2 3 4 5 6	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS  ExaminationPage  Examination by Mr. Cooper  Examination by Mr. Krasinski	246 5 248 315
2 3 4 5 6 7	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  MOSHE MAIMON, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue 11th Floor New York, New York mmaimon@levylaw.co  On behalf of the Defendar Johnson & Johnson:	:: 10022 om	2 3 4 5 6 7	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS  ExaminationPage  Examination by Mr. Cooper  Examination by Mr. Krasinski  Further Examination by Mr. Cooper	246 5 248 315 370
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  MOSHE MAIMON, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue 11th Floor New York, New York mmaimon@levylaw.co	:: 10022 om	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS  ExaminationPage  Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Maimon	246 5 248 315 370 378
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  MOSHE MAIMON, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue 11th Floor New York, New York mmaimon@levylaw.co  On behalf of the Defendar Johnson & Johnson:  JONATHAN R. COOPER Tucker Ellis, LLP 950 Main Avenue	:: 10022 om	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS  ExaminationPage  Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper	248 315 370 378 386
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  MOSHE MAIMON, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue 11th Floor New York, New York mmaimon@levylaw.co  On behalf of the Defendar Johnson & Johnson:  JONATHAN R. COOPER Tucker Ellis, LLP 950 Main Avenue Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 4411	tes of counsel  to 10022  om  nt  R, Esq.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS  ExaminationPage  Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski	248 315 370 378 386 391
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  MOSHE MAIMON, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue 11th Floor New York, New York mmaimon@levylaw.co  On behalf of the Defendar Johnson & Johnson:  JONATHAN R. COOPER Tucker Ellis, LLP 950 Main Avenue Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 4411 jonathan.cooper@tuck	tes of counsel  to 10022 to m  to a, Esq.  derellis.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS  ExaminationPage  Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper	248 315 370 378 386 391 395
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  MOSHE MAIMON, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue 11th Floor New York, New York mmaimon@levylaw.co  On behalf of the Defendar Johnson & Johnson:  JONATHAN R. COOPER Tucker Ellis, LLP 950 Main Avenue Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 4411 jonathan.cooper@tuck  JACK N. FROST, JR., E Drinker, Biddle & Reat	tes of counsel  to 10022  om  nt  t., Esq.  3  terellis.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS  ExaminationPage  Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Maimon	248 315 370 378 386 391 395
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  MOSHE MAIMON, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue 11th Floor New York, New York mmaimon@levylaw.co  On behalf of the Defendar Johnson & Johnson:  JONATHAN R. COOPER Tucker Ellis, LLP 950 Main Avenue Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 4411 jonathan.cooper@tuck JACK N. FROST, JR., E	tes OF COUNSEL  to 10022 to not  to a serial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS  Examination Page  Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper	248 315 370 378 386 391 395 395
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  MOSHE MAIMON, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue 11th Floor New York, New York mmaimon@levylaw.co  On behalf of the Defendar Johnson & Johnson:  JONATHAN R. COOPER Tucker Ellis, LLP 950 Main Avenue Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 4411 jonathan.cooper@tuck  JACK N. FROST, JR., E Drinker, Biddle & Reat 600 Campus Drive Florham Park, New Jer jack.frost@dbr.com	tes of counsel  to 10022  to m  at the sequence of the sequenc	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS  Examination Page  Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper	248 315 370 378 386 391 395 395
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  MOSHE MAIMON, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue 11th Floor New York, New York mmaimon@levylaw.co  On behalf of the Defendar Johnson & Johnson:  JONATHAN R. COOPER Tucker Ellis, LLP 950 Main Avenue Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 4411 jonathan.cooper@tuck  JACK N. FROST, JR., E Drinker, Biddle & Reat 600 Campus Drive Florham Park, New Jei jack.frost@dbr.com (Appearance by teleph	int	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS  Examination page  Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski	248 315 370 378 386 391 395 395
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  MOSHE MAIMON, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue 11th Floor New York, New York mmaimon@levylaw.co  On behalf of the Defendar Johnson & Johnson:  JONATHAN R. COOPER Tucker Ellis, LLP 950 Main Avenue Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 4411 jonathan.cooper@tuck  JACK N. FROST, JR., E Drinker, Biddle & Reat 600 Campus Drive Florham Park, New Jei jack.frost@dbr.com (Appearance by teleph  CHAD MORRISS, Esq. Kirkland & Ellis, LLP	tes OF COUNSEL  10022  om  nt  R, Esq.  3  rerellis.com  rsq. h, LLP  rsey 07932  none)  (pro hoc pending)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS  Examination page  Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski	248 315 370 378 386 391 395 395
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  MOSHE MAIMON, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue 11th Floor New York, New York mmaimon@levylaw.co  On behalf of the Defendar Johnson & Johnson:  JONATHAN R. COOPER Tucker Ellis, LLP 950 Main Avenue Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 4411 jonathan.cooper@tuck  JACK N. FROST, JR., E Drinker, Biddle & Reat 600 Campus Drive Florham Park, New Jer jack.frost@dbr.com (Appearance by teleph	tes of counsel  to 10022  to m  at the sequence of the sequenc	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS  Examination page  Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski	248 315 370 378 386 391 395 395
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  MOSHE MAIMON, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue 11th Floor New York, New York mmaimon@levylaw.co  On behalf of the Defendar Johnson & Johnson:  JONATHAN R. COOPER Tucker Ellis, LLP 950 Main Avenue Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 4411 jonathan.cooper@tuck JACK N. FROST, JR., E Drinker, Biddle & Reat 600 Campus Drive Florham Park, New Jer jack.frost@dbr.com (Appearance by teleph CHAD MORRISS, Esq. Kirkland & Ellis, LLP 655 Fifteenth Street, I	int	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS  Examination page  Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski	248 315 370 378 386 391 395 395
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  MOSHE MAIMON, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue 11th Floor New York, New York mmaimon@levylaw.co  On behalf of the Defendar Johnson & Johnson:  JONATHAN R. COOPER Tucker Ellis, LLP 950 Main Avenue Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 4411 jonathan.cooper@tuck JACK N. FROST, JR., E Drinker, Biddle & Reat 600 Campus Drive Florham Park, New Jer jack.frost@dbr.com (Appearance by teleph CHAD MORRISS, Esq. Kirkland & Ellis, LLP 655 Fifteenth Street, I Washington, DC 2000 chad.morriss@kirkland	tes of counsel  10022  om  nt  R, Esq.  3  rerellis.com  rsq. th, LLP  rsey 07932  none)  (pro hoc pending)  NW  15  d.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS  Examination page  Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski	248 315 370 378 386 391 395 395
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  MOSHE MAIMON, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue 11th Floor New York, New York mmaimon@levylaw.co  On behalf of the Defendar Johnson & Johnson:  JONATHAN R. COOPER Tucker Ellis, LLP 950 Main Avenue Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 4411 jonathan.cooper@tuck  JACK N. FROST, JR., E Drinker, Biddle & Reat 600 Campus Drive Florham Park, New Jer jack.frost@dbr.com (Appearance by teleph  CHAD MORRISS, Esq. Kirkland & Ellis, LLP 655 Fifteenth Street, I Washington, DC 2000 chad.morriss@kirkland  JEFFREY A. HEALY, Est Tucker Ellis, LLP 950 Main Avenue	tes of counsel  10022  om  nt  R, Esq.  3  rerellis.com  rsq. th, LLP  rsey 07932  none)  (pro hoc pending)  NW  15  d.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS  Examination page  Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski	248 315 370 378 386 391 395 395
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  MOSHE MAIMON, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue 11th Floor New York, New York mmaimon@levylaw.co  On behalf of the Defendar Johnson & Johnson:  JONATHAN R. COOPER Tucker Ellis, LLP 950 Main Avenue Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 4411 jonathan.cooper@tuck  JACK N. FROST, JR., E Drinker, Biddle & Reat 600 Campus Drive Florham Park, New Jer jack.frost@dbr.com (Appearance by teleph CHAD MORRISS, Esq. Kirkland & Ellis, LLP 655 Fifteenth Street, I Washington, DC 2000 chad.morriss@kirkland JEFFREY A. HEALY, Est Tucker Ellis, LLP	tes of counsel  10022  om  nt  2, Esq.  3  cerellis.com  isq. ih, LLP  rsey 07932  none)  (pro hoc pending)  NW  15 d.com  q.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS  Examination page  Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski	248 315 370 378 386 391 395 395
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  MOSHE MAIMON, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue 11th Floor New York, New York mmaimon@levylaw.co  On behalf of the Defendar Johnson & Johnson:  JONATHAN R. COOPER Tucker Ellis, LLP 950 Main Avenue Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 4411 jonathan.cooper@tuck  JACK N. FROST, JR., E Drinker, Biddle & Reat 600 Campus Drive Florham Park, New Jer jack.frost@dbr.com (Appearance by teleph  CHAD MORRISS, Esq. Kirkland & Ellis, LLP 655 Fifteenth Street, I Washington, DC 2000 chad.morriss@kirkland  JEFFREY A. HEALY, Est Tucker Ellis, LLP 950 Main Avenue Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 4411 jeffrey.healy@tuckerel	int  int  int  int  int  int  int  int	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS  Examination page  Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski	248 315 370 378 386 391 395 395
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  MOSHE MAIMON, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue 11th Floor New York, New York mmaimon@levylaw.co  On behalf of the Defendar Johnson & Johnson:  JONATHAN R. COOPER Tucker Ellis, LLP 950 Main Avenue Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 4411 jonathan.cooper@tuck  JACK N. FROST, JR., E Drinker, Biddle & Reat 600 Campus Drive Florham Park, New Jei jack.frost@dbr.com (Appearance by teleph  CHAD MORRISS, Esq. Kirkland & Ellis, LLP 655 Fifteenth Street, I Washington, DC 2000 chad.morriss@kirkland  JEFFREY A. HEALY, Est Tucker Ellis, LLP 950 Main Avenue Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 4411	int  int  int  int  int  int  int  int	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS  Examination page  Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski	248 315 370 378 386 391 395 395
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  MOSHE MAIMON, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue 11th Floor New York, New York mmaimon@levylaw.co  On behalf of the Defendar Johnson & Johnson:  JONATHAN R. COOPER Tucker Ellis, LLP 950 Main Avenue Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 4411 jonathan.cooper@tuck  JACK N. FROST, JR., E Drinker, Biddle & Reat 600 Campus Drive Florham Park, New Jer jack.frost@dbr.com (Appearance by teleph  CHAD MORRISS, Esq. Kirkland & Ellis, LLP 655 Fifteenth Street, I Washington, DC 2000 chad.morriss@kirkland  JEFFREY A. HEALY, Est Tucker Ellis, LLP 950 Main Avenue Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 4411 jeffrey.healy@tuckerel	int  int  int  int  int  int  int  int	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Krasinski Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Cooper Further Examination by Mr. Maimon Further Examination by Mr. Krasinski	248 315 370 378 386 391 395 395

- Ca	se 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RL	. <del>S Document 9867-28</del>	<del>-Fil</del>	ed 05/29/19 Page 3 of 6 PageID: 5 <u>1,779</u>
1			3 <b>1</b>	like that?
10:15:13	see if there's intergrowth crystall		•	like that?
10:15:17 2	intergrowth used to be transition	_	_	A. I have not.
10:15:22 3	intergrowth is the new buzzword	•		Q. Okay. Let's talk a little bit about the
10:15:26 4	should rotate it and look at variou	_	-	Blount procedure.
10:15:29 5	diffraction patterns or just accept		_	A. Let me get my file on that.
10:15:32 6	fibrous talc. And if you get an an		-	Q. Okay.
10:15:35 7	diffraction pattern, you should ro			A. It may take me a second.
10:15:38	it's transitional or not.	10:17:48	_	Q. I sympathize completely.
10:15:39 9	Q. And when you say rotal selected area electron diffraction	. ,		A. It would be on the bottom. Sorry for the
10:15:42 <b>10</b> 10:15:44 <b>11</b>				delay.
10:15:44 11		10:19:52		Q. That's fine. Ready?
10:15:46 12	Q. Okay. Dual zone axis?			A. I am.
10:15:49 13	A. No, I wouldn't do dual z			Q. All right. I'm really interested in
	just look and see if you have any patterns. You don't need to do do			your why did you choose to use what we're calling the Blount procedure, but let's mark it better, and
10:15:54 <b>15</b> 10:15:59 <b>16</b>	diffraction to identify these miner			that would be the procedure outlined by Alice Blount
10:15:59 10	•	10:20:30 10:20:34		in her 1991 paper which is actually part of an
10:16:01 17	Q. Why do you say that? MR. MAIMON: Objectio			exhibit that has its number cut off, but that's
10:16:02 18	reasons that he testified to i			probably sufficient entitled Amphibole Content of
10:16:04 19		10:20:45		
10:16:06 20	depositions?  MR. COOPER: Aside from			Cosmetic and Pharmaceutical Talcs. Why did you choose to use that Blount procedure?
10:16:07 21	because I read that twice an			A. Because her method talked about the
10:16:08 22	understand it.	10:20:58		
10:16:10 23				utility of separating out the denser amphiboles
10:16:12 <b>24</b>	MR. MAIMON: Oh, oka answered.	y. Object. Asked and 10:21:04		versus the talc material so that you can have a more sensitive analysis by polarized light microscopy.
10:16:13 23	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 w		8 23	Atlanta Reporters, Inc.866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 000-344-0433 W	ww.atianta-reporters.com		Atlanta Reporters, inc. 000-344-0433 www.atlanta-reporters.com
		288		290
10:16:13	MR COOPER: That ma	y he my problem	。 <b>1</b>	290
10:16:13 1	MR. COOPER: That ma	y be my problem. 10:21:12	_	It made sense to me that if it worked for
10:16:15 2	Q. (By Mr. Cooper) But w	y be my problem. 10:21:12 hy do you say that? 10:21:17	7 2	It made sense to me that if it worked for polarized light microscopy, it may work for
10:16:15 <b>2</b> 10:16:16 <b>3</b>	<ul><li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) But with</li><li>A. For the reasons I've aire</li></ul>	y be my problem. 10:21:12 hy do you say that? 10:21:16 eady stated. 10:21:18	7 <b>2</b> 9 <b>3</b>	It made sense to me that if it worked for polarized light microscopy, it may work for transmission electron microscopy, that it could
10:16:15 <b>2</b> 10:16:16 <b>3</b> 10:16:20 <b>4</b>	<ul><li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) But will</li><li>A. For the reasons I've aire</li><li>Q. Okay. I'll go back and</li></ul>	y be my problem.  hy do you say that?  eady stated.  read it again.  10:21:12  10:21:12	7 2 9 3 2 4	It made sense to me that if it worked for polarized light microscopy, it may work for transmission electron microscopy, that it could concentrate the materials and eliminate the need to
10:16:15 <b>2</b> 10:16:16 <b>3</b> 10:16:20 <b>4</b> 10:16:25 <b>5</b>	<ul> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) But with A.</li> <li>For the reasons I've airce</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'll go back and Is all of the case-specific</li> </ul>	y be my problem.  hy do you say that?  eady stated.  read it again.  ic information  10:21:12	7 2 9 3 2 4 5 5	It made sense to me that if it worked for polarized light microscopy, it may work for transmission electron microscopy, that it could concentrate the materials and eliminate the need to look at hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of grid
10:16:15 <b>2</b> 10:16:16 <b>3</b> 10:16:20 <b>4</b> 10:16:25 <b>5</b> 10:16:28 <b>6</b>	<ul> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) But with A.</li> <li>For the reasons I've airce</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'll go back and Is all of the case-specification of the case of the case of the case.</li> </ul>	y be my problem. hy do you say that? eady stated. read it again. ic information report in this	7 2 9 3 2 4 5 5 9 6	It made sense to me that if it worked for polarized light microscopy, it may work for transmission electron microscopy, that it could concentrate the materials and eliminate the need to look at hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of grid openings to reach the sensitivity necessary for these
10:16:15 <b>2</b> 10:16:16 <b>3</b> 10:16:20 <b>4</b> 10:16:25 <b>5</b> 10:16:28 <b>6</b> 10:16:36 <b>7</b>	<ul> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) But with A.</li> <li>For the reasons I've air Q.</li> <li>Okay. I'll go back and Is all of the case-specific that you looked at listed in your case</li> </ul>	y be my problem. hy do you say that? eady stated. read it again. ic information report in this  10:21:12 10:21:12 10:21:12 10:21:22 10:21:23	7 2 9 3 4 5 5 5 9 6 7 2 7	It made sense to me that if it worked for polarized light microscopy, it may work for transmission electron microscopy, that it could concentrate the materials and eliminate the need to look at hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of grid openings to reach the sensitivity necessary for these trace amounts of amphiboles in the cosmetic talc.
10:16:15 <b>2</b> 10:16:16 <b>3</b> 10:16:20 <b>4</b> 10:16:25 <b>5</b> 10:16:28 <b>6</b> 10:16:36 <b>7</b>	<ul> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) But with A. For the reasons I've air Q. Okay. I'll go back and Is all of the case-specific that you looked at listed in your case</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> </ul>	y be my problem. hy do you say that? eady stated. read it again. ic information report in this  10:21:12 10:21:12 10:21:13 10:21:32 10:21:32	7 2 9 3 4 5 5 5 9 6 2 7 6 8	It made sense to me that if it worked for polarized light microscopy, it may work for transmission electron microscopy, that it could concentrate the materials and eliminate the need to look at hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of grid openings to reach the sensitivity necessary for these trace amounts of amphiboles in the cosmetic talc.  Q. My understanding is that what you're
10:16:15 <b>2</b> 10:16:16 <b>3</b> 10:16:20 <b>4</b> 10:16:25 <b>5</b> 10:16:28 <b>6</b> 10:16:36 <b>7</b> 10:16:36 <b>8</b>	<ul> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) But with A. For the reasons I've air Q. Okay. I'll go back and Is all of the case-specific that you looked at listed in your case</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> </ul>	y be my problem.  hy do you say that?  eady stated.  read it again.  ic information  report in this  10:21:28  10:21:38  10:21:38  10:21:38	7 2 9 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 2 7 6 8 9 9	It made sense to me that if it worked for polarized light microscopy, it may work for transmission electron microscopy, that it could concentrate the materials and eliminate the need to look at hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of grid openings to reach the sensitivity necessary for these trace amounts of amphiboles in the cosmetic talc.  Q. My understanding is that what you're trying to do in this process is to get rid of
10:16:15 <b>2</b> 10:16:16 <b>3</b> 10:16:20 <b>4</b> 10:16:25 <b>5</b> 10:16:28 <b>6</b> 10:16:36 <b>7</b> 10:16:36 <b>8</b> 10:16:37 <b>9</b>	<ul> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) But with A. For the reasons I've air Q. Okay. I'll go back and Is all of the case-specific that you looked at listed in your case</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q which I would mark and I woul</li></ul>	y be my problem.  hy do you say that?  eady stated.  read it again.  ic information  report in this  10:21:22  10:21:23  10:21:32  10:21:32  10:21:32  10:21:32  10:21:32	7 2 9 3 2 4 5 5 5 9 6 8 9 9 9 2 10	It made sense to me that if it worked for polarized light microscopy, it may work for transmission electron microscopy, that it could concentrate the materials and eliminate the need to look at hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of grid openings to reach the sensitivity necessary for these trace amounts of amphiboles in the cosmetic talc.  Q. My understanding is that what you're
10:16:15 <b>2</b> 10:16:16 <b>3</b> 10:16:20 <b>4</b> 10:16:25 <b>5</b> 10:16:28 <b>6</b> 10:16:36 <b>7</b> 10:16:36 <b>8</b> 10:16:37 <b>9</b> 10:16:41 <b>10</b>	<ul> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) But with A. For the reasons I've air Q. Okay. I'll go back and Is all of the case-specific that you looked at listed in your case</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q which I would mark a can find it.</li> </ul>	y be my problem.  hy do you say that?  eady stated.  read it again.  ic information  report in this  10:21:25  10:21:26  10:21:26  10:21:36  10:21:36  10:21:36  10:21:46  10:21:46	7 2 9 3 2 4 4 5 5 5 9 6 2 7 6 8 9 9 2 10 6 11	It made sense to me that if it worked for polarized light microscopy, it may work for transmission electron microscopy, that it could concentrate the materials and eliminate the need to look at hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of grid openings to reach the sensitivity necessary for these trace amounts of amphiboles in the cosmetic talc.  Q. My understanding is that what you're trying to do in this process is to get rid of extraneous lighter particles so that you don't have
10:16:15	<ul> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) But with A. For the reasons I've air Q. Okay. I'll go back and Is all of the case-specific that you looked at listed in your case</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q which I would mark a can find it.</li> <li>A. I'm afraid to go into mine.</li> </ul>	y be my problem.  hy do you say that?  eady stated.  read it again.  ic information  report in this  10:21:32  10:21:33  10:21:34  as an exhibit if I  10:21:42  10:21:44  10:21:46  10:21:51	7 2 9 3 4 5 5 6 6 2 7 6 8 9 9 2 10 6 11 1 12	It made sense to me that if it worked for polarized light microscopy, it may work for transmission electron microscopy, that it could concentrate the materials and eliminate the need to look at hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of grid openings to reach the sensitivity necessary for these trace amounts of amphiboles in the cosmetic talc.  Q. My understanding is that what you're trying to do in this process is to get rid of extraneous lighter particles so that you don't have to sort through them in your analysis. Is that a
10:16:15	<ul> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) But with A. For the reasons I've air Q. Okay. I'll go back and Is all of the case-specific that you looked at listed in your case</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q which I would mark a can find it.</li> <li>A. I'm afraid to go into min MR. MAIMON: I have a</li> </ul>	y be my problem.  hy do you say that?  eady stated.  read it again.  ic information  report in this  10:21:32  10:21:33  10:21:34  as an exhibit if I  10:21:42  10:21:44  10:21:46  10:21:51	7 2 9 3 4 5 5 9 6 2 7 6 8 9 9 2 10 6 11 1 12 3 13	It made sense to me that if it worked for polarized light microscopy, it may work for transmission electron microscopy, that it could concentrate the materials and eliminate the need to look at hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of grid openings to reach the sensitivity necessary for these trace amounts of amphiboles in the cosmetic talc.  Q. My understanding is that what you're trying to do in this process is to get rid of extraneous lighter particles so that you don't have to sort through them in your analysis. Is that a layman's description or no?
10:16:15	<ul> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) But with A. For the reasons I've air Q. Okay. I'll go back and Is all of the case-specific that you looked at listed in your case</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q which I would mark a can find it.</li> <li>A. I'm afraid to go into min MR. MAIMON: I have a MR. COOPER: Can I mark</li> </ul>	y be my problem.  hy do you say that?  eady stated.  read it again.  ic information  report in this  as an exhibit if I  10:21:42	7 2 9 3 2 4 5 5 9 6 2 7 8 8 9 9 2 10 6 11 12 3 13 7 14	It made sense to me that if it worked for polarized light microscopy, it may work for transmission electron microscopy, that it could concentrate the materials and eliminate the need to look at hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of grid openings to reach the sensitivity necessary for these trace amounts of amphiboles in the cosmetic talc.  Q. My understanding is that what you're trying to do in this process is to get rid of extraneous lighter particles so that you don't have to sort through them in your analysis. Is that a layman's description or no?  A. No. What it is is you want to have enough
10:16:15	<ul> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) But with A. For the reasons I've air Q. Okay. I'll go back and Is all of the case-specific that you looked at listed in your case</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q which I would mark a can find it.</li> <li>A. I'm afraid to go into min MR. MAIMON: I have a MR. COOPER: Can I may MR. MAIMON: Yes.</li> </ul>	y be my problem.  hy do you say that?  eady stated.  read it again.  ic information  report in this  as an exhibit if I  10:21:42	7 2 9 3 4 5 5 9 6 2 7 8 9 9 2 10 6 11 12 3 13 13 7 14 2 15	It made sense to me that if it worked for polarized light microscopy, it may work for transmission electron microscopy, that it could concentrate the materials and eliminate the need to look at hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of grid openings to reach the sensitivity necessary for these trace amounts of amphiboles in the cosmetic talc.  Q. My understanding is that what you're trying to do in this process is to get rid of extraneous lighter particles so that you don't have to sort through them in your analysis. Is that a layman's description or no?  A. No. What it is is you want to have enough material to start with, turns out about 20 milligrams
10:16:15	<ul> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) But with A. For the reasons I've air Q. Okay. I'll go back and Is all of the case-specific that you looked at listed in your case</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q which I would mark at can find it.</li> <li>A. I'm afraid to go into min MR. MAIMON: I have a MR. COOPER: Can I may MR. MAIMON: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thanks.</li> </ul>	y be my problem.  hy do you say that?  eady stated.  read it again.  ic information  report in this  as an exhibit if I  10:21:32	7 2 9 3 4 5 5 9 6 2 7 6 8 9 9 2 10 6 11 1 12 3 13 7 14 2 15 6 16	It made sense to me that if it worked for polarized light microscopy, it may work for transmission electron microscopy, that it could concentrate the materials and eliminate the need to look at hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of grid openings to reach the sensitivity necessary for these trace amounts of amphiboles in the cosmetic talc.  Q. My understanding is that what you're trying to do in this process is to get rid of extraneous lighter particles so that you don't have to sort through them in your analysis. Is that a layman's description or no?  A. No. What it is is you want to have enough material to start with, turns out about 20 milligrams is about the limit for TEM, so that you can have a
10:16:15	<ul> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) But with A. For the reasons I've air Q. Okay. I'll go back and Is all of the case-specific that you looked at listed in your case</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q which I would mark at Can find it.</li> <li>A. I'm afraid to go into min MR. MAIMON: I have a MR. COOPER: Can I may MR. MAIMON: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thanks. Exhibit 22.</li> </ul>	y be my problem.  hy do you say that?  eady stated.  read it again.  ic information  report in this  as an exhibit if I  10:21:32	7 2 9 3 4 5 5 9 6 2 7 8 9 9 2 10 6 11 12 3 13 7 14 2 15 6 16 3 17	It made sense to me that if it worked for polarized light microscopy, it may work for transmission electron microscopy, that it could concentrate the materials and eliminate the need to look at hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of grid openings to reach the sensitivity necessary for these trace amounts of amphiboles in the cosmetic talc.  Q. My understanding is that what you're trying to do in this process is to get rid of extraneous lighter particles so that you don't have to sort through them in your analysis. Is that a layman's description or no?  A. No. What it is is you want to have enough material to start with, turns out about 20 milligrams is about the limit for TEM, so that you can have a concentrated sample which would increase or lower the
10:16:15	<ul> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) But with A. For the reasons I've air Q. Okay. I'll go back and Is all of the case-specific that you looked at listed in your case</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q which I would mark at Can find it.</li> <li>A. I'm afraid to go into min MR. MAIMON: I have a MR. COOPER: Can I may MR. MAIMON: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thanks. Exhibit 22. (Defendant's Exhibit 22.</li> </ul>	y be my problem. hy do you say that? eady stated. read it again. ic information report in this  as an exhibit if I  10:21:42 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:22:33	7 2 9 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 9 2 10 6 11 12 3 13 7 14 2 15 6 16 3 17 8 18	It made sense to me that if it worked for polarized light microscopy, it may work for transmission electron microscopy, that it could concentrate the materials and eliminate the need to look at hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of grid openings to reach the sensitivity necessary for these trace amounts of amphiboles in the cosmetic talc.  Q. My understanding is that what you're trying to do in this process is to get rid of extraneous lighter particles so that you don't have to sort through them in your analysis. Is that a layman's description or no?  A. No. What it is is you want to have enough material to start with, turns out about 20 milligrams is about the limit for TEM, so that you can have a concentrated sample which would increase or lower the detection limit and not overload the TEM sample.
10:16:15	<ul> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) But with A. For the reasons I've air Q. Okay. I'll go back and Is all of the case-specific that you looked at listed in your case</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q which I would mark at can find it.</li> <li>A. I'm afraid to go into min MR. MAIMON: I have at MR. COOPER: Can I may MR. MAIMON: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thanks. Exhibit 22. (Defendant's Exhibit 22 identification.)</li> </ul>	y be my problem.  hy do you say that?  eady stated.  read it again.  ic information  report in this  10:21:28  10:21:38  10:21:38  10:21:42  ne.  10:21:42	7 2 9 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 6 11 12 13 13 17 14 15 6 16 17 8 18 9 19	It made sense to me that if it worked for polarized light microscopy, it may work for transmission electron microscopy, that it could concentrate the materials and eliminate the need to look at hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of grid openings to reach the sensitivity necessary for these trace amounts of amphiboles in the cosmetic talc.  Q. My understanding is that what you're trying to do in this process is to get rid of extraneous lighter particles so that you don't have to sort through them in your analysis. Is that a layman's description or no?  A. No. What it is is you want to have enough material to start with, turns out about 20 milligrams is about the limit for TEM, so that you can have a concentrated sample which would increase or lower the detection limit and not overload the TEM sample.  When you're doing TEM analysis, you have
10:16:15	<ul> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) But with A. For the reasons I've air Q. Okay. I'll go back and Is all of the case-specific that you looked at listed in your case</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q which I would mark at Can find it.</li> <li>A. I'm afraid to go into min MR. MAIMON: I have at MR. COOPER: Can I may MR. MAIMON: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thanks. Exhibit 22.  (Defendant's Exhibit 22 identification.)</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) My que</li> </ul>	y be my problem.  hy do you say that?  eady stated.  read it again.  ic information  report in this  10:21:28  10:21:38  10:21:38  10:21:42  ne.  10:21:42	7 2 9 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 9 2 10 6 11 12 3 13 13 14 2 15 6 16 3 17 8 18 9 19 5 20	It made sense to me that if it worked for polarized light microscopy, it may work for transmission electron microscopy, that it could concentrate the materials and eliminate the need to look at hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of grid openings to reach the sensitivity necessary for these trace amounts of amphiboles in the cosmetic talc.  Q. My understanding is that what you're trying to do in this process is to get rid of extraneous lighter particles so that you don't have to sort through them in your analysis. Is that a layman's description or no?  A. No. What it is is you want to have enough material to start with, turns out about 20 milligrams is about the limit for TEM, so that you can have a concentrated sample which would increase or lower the detection limit and not overload the TEM sample.  When you're doing TEM analysis, you have the problem of if you're looking for trace
10:16:15	<ul> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) But with A. For the reasons I've air Q. Okay. I'll go back and Is all of the case-specific that you looked at listed in your case</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q which I would mark at Can find it.</li> <li>A. I'm afraid to go into min MR. MAIMON: I have at MR. COOPER: Can I may MR. MAIMON: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thanks. Exhibit 22.  (Defendant's Exhibit 22 identification.)</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) My que test any product that Mr. Lanzo at Iron.</li> </ul>	y be my problem.  hy do you say that?  eady stated.  read it again.  ic information  report in this  10:21:25  10:21:32  10:22:32  10:22:32  10:22:32  10:22:32  10:22:32  10:22:32  10:22:32  10:22:32  10:22:32  10:22:32  10:22:32  10:22:32	7 2 9 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 2 10 6 11 12 13 13 17 14 15 6 16 17 8 18 9 19 5 20 8 21	It made sense to me that if it worked for polarized light microscopy, it may work for transmission electron microscopy, that it could concentrate the materials and eliminate the need to look at hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of grid openings to reach the sensitivity necessary for these trace amounts of amphiboles in the cosmetic talc.  Q. My understanding is that what you're trying to do in this process is to get rid of extraneous lighter particles so that you don't have to sort through them in your analysis. Is that a layman's description or no?  A. No. What it is is you want to have enough material to start with, turns out about 20 milligrams is about the limit for TEM, so that you can have a concentrated sample which would increase or lower the detection limit and not overload the TEM sample.  When you're doing TEM analysis, you have the problem of if you're looking for trace materials increasing the weight of what you're
10:16:15	<ul> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) But with A. For the reasons I've air Q. Okay. I'll go back and Is all of the case-specific that you looked at listed in your case</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q which I would mark at can find it.</li> <li>A. I'm afraid to go into min MR. MAIMON: I have at MR. COOPER: Can I may MR. MAIMON: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thanks. Exhibit 22.  (Defendant's Exhibit 22 identification.)</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) My quetest any product that Mr. Lanzo at correct?</li> </ul>	y be my problem.  hy do you say that?  eady stated.  read it again.  ic information  report in this  10:21:25  10:21:32  10:22:32  10:22:32  10:22:32  10:22:32  10:22:32  10:22:32  10:22:32  10:22:32  10:22:32  10:22:32  10:22:32  10:22:32	7 2 9 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 6 11 12 13 13 17 14 15 6 16 17 8 18 19 19 5 20 8 21 12 2	It made sense to me that if it worked for polarized light microscopy, it may work for transmission electron microscopy, that it could concentrate the materials and eliminate the need to look at hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of grid openings to reach the sensitivity necessary for these trace amounts of amphiboles in the cosmetic talc.  Q. My understanding is that what you're trying to do in this process is to get rid of extraneous lighter particles so that you don't have to sort through them in your analysis. Is that a layman's description or no?  A. No. What it is is you want to have enough material to start with, turns out about 20 milligrams is about the limit for TEM, so that you can have a concentrated sample which would increase or lower the detection limit and not overload the TEM sample.  When you're doing TEM analysis, you have the problem of if you're looking for trace materials increasing the weight of what you're analyzing increases the probability if it is trace
10:16:15	<ul> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) But with A. For the reasons I've air Q. Okay. I'll go back and Is all of the case-specific that you looked at listed in your case</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q which I would mark at Can find it.</li> <li>A. I'm afraid to go into min MR. MAIMON: I have at MR. COOPER: Can I may MR. MAIMON: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thanks. Exhibit 22. (Defendant's Exhibit 22. (Defendant's Exhibit 22 identification.)</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) My quetest any product that Mr. Lanzo at correct?</li> <li>A. I didn't receive any of heads</li> </ul>	y be my problem.  hy do you say that?  eady stated.  read it again.  ic information  report in this  as an exhibit if I  ne.  10:21:42  10:22:43  10:22:08  10:22:08  10:22:18  10:22:18  10:22:28  10:22:28  10:22:28  10:22:28  10:22:28  10:22:28  10:22:28	7 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 3 13 13 14 15 6 16 16 3 17 8 18 9 19 15 20 8 21 12 22 3 23	It made sense to me that if it worked for polarized light microscopy, it may work for transmission electron microscopy, that it could concentrate the materials and eliminate the need to look at hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of grid openings to reach the sensitivity necessary for these trace amounts of amphiboles in the cosmetic talc.  Q. My understanding is that what you're trying to do in this process is to get rid of extraneous lighter particles so that you don't have to sort through them in your analysis. Is that a layman's description or no?  A. No. What it is is you want to have enough material to start with, turns out about 20 milligrams is about the limit for TEM, so that you can have a concentrated sample which would increase or lower the detection limit and not overload the TEM sample.  When you're doing TEM analysis, you have the problem of if you're looking for trace materials increasing the weight of what you're analyzing increases the probability if it is trace that you can find it.
10:16:15	<ul> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) But with A. For the reasons I've air Q. Okay. I'll go back and Is all of the case-specific that you looked at listed in your case</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q which I would mark at Can find it.</li> <li>A. I'm afraid to go into min MR. MAIMON: I have at MR. COOPER: Can I mate MR. MAIMON: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thanks. Exhibit 22.  (Defendant's Exhibit 22 identification.)</li> <li>Q. (By Mr. Cooper) My quetest any product that Mr. Lanzo at correct?</li> <li>A. I didn't receive any of he products.</li> </ul>	y be my problem. hy do you say that? eady stated. read it again. ic information report in this  as an exhibit if I  ne. 10:21:42 10:21:43 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:21:32 10:22:32 10:22:32 10:22:32 10:22:32 10:22:33 10:22:33 10:22:33 10:22:33 10:22:33 10:22:33 10:22:33 10:22:33 10:22:33 10:22:33 10:22:33 10:22:33 10:22:33 10:22:33 10:22:33	7 2 9 3 4 5 5 9 6 2 7 8 9 9 2 10 6 11 12 3 13 7 14 2 15 6 16 3 17 8 18 9 19 5 20 8 21 1 22 3 23 7 24	It made sense to me that if it worked for polarized light microscopy, it may work for transmission electron microscopy, that it could concentrate the materials and eliminate the need to look at hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of grid openings to reach the sensitivity necessary for these trace amounts of amphiboles in the cosmetic talc.  Q. My understanding is that what you're trying to do in this process is to get rid of extraneous lighter particles so that you don't have to sort through them in your analysis. Is that a layman's description or no?  A. No. What it is is you want to have enough material to start with, turns out about 20 milligrams is about the limit for TEM, so that you can have a concentrated sample which would increase or lower the detection limit and not overload the TEM sample.  When you're doing TEM analysis, you have the problem of if you're looking for trace materials increasing the weight of what you're analyzing increases the probability if it is trace that you can find it.  If you have talc in there, to increase

Ca	SE 3.10	<del>-Mu-02738-MAS-KLS Document 986</del> 291	1-20		led 05/29/19 Page 4 of 6 PageID: 51780
10:22:43	that's w	hy many laboratories laboratories have	10:25:44	1	because you're still getting some talc particles
10:22:46 <b>2</b>		ese types of analyses and increase the grid	10:25:49	2	of fibers down.
10:22:51 3		s, which is fine. That's a very that is a	10:25:50	3	You know, maybe in the future we'll go
10:22:57 4		ethod for decreasing increasing the	10:25:51	4	back and do that and see if that actually works
10:23:01 5	sensitiv		10:25:56	5	and revise the reports and add anthophyllite to
10:23:02 6	Schister	By doing the Blount method, we were trying	10:26:00	6	them if it's present.
_	to get ti	nese analyses where we could look at 100 grid	10:26:01	7	Q. (By Mr. Cooper) And that was going to be
10:23:04	_	s and streamline the analysis, and the Blount		8	my next question, is given the density of the
		•	10:26:02	9	
		was a logical thing to try. We didn't know	10:26:05 10:26:09		material, why did you still find talc?
10:23:16 10		e tried it that it would work for TEM or not or			A. It's mostly talc fibers, but because you
10:23:19 11		ple prep, but it turned out that it did.	10:26:15		have hundreds of millions and millions of talc,
10:23:21 12	Q.	Where did you first come across Blount's	10:26:18		you're still going to see some. It falls goes
10:23:29 13	paper?		10:26:24		down, stuck to the tremolite, you're always going to
10:23:29	Α.	I came across it from the Johnson &	10:26:27		see some.
10:23:34		's documents.	10:26:29	15	I think Blount even talks about there is
10:23:35	Q.	Okay. When was that?	10:26:31		talc in hers. So what's going on there and why it
10:23:37	A.	Before I used it. I mean, I really don't	10:26:37		happens, I haven't sat down and gone this is the
10:23:43	know.		10:26:42	18	scientific explanation, but it happened with Blount,
10:23:43	Q.	Kind of obvious.	10:26:45	19	and it happened with us.
10:23:45 20	<b>A.</b>	I don't mean to be	10:26:45	20	Q. There's no difference in density between
10:23:49 21	Q.	Last few years?	10:26:49	21	talc fibers and platy talc, is there?
10:23:52	A.	No, it's I think whenever we started	10:26:51	22	A. I'm not sure because we're seeing more
10:23:57	this ana	lysis, I had it before that, but, you know,	10:26:54	23	fibrous talc than we are so I'm not sure yet.
10:24:00 <b>24</b>	last yea	r.	10:26:58	24	<b>Q</b> . Okay.
10:24:01 <b>25</b>	Q.	Okay. Blount used a heavy liquid with a	10:26:58	25	A. You would think not.
	Atlanta R	eporters, Inc.866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com			Atlanta Reporters, Inc.866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
					' '
		292			294
10:24:09	density	292 of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a	10:27:01	1	-
10:24:09 <b>1</b> 10:24:15 <b>2</b>	-		10:27:01 10:27:04	1 2	294
	-	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a		_	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay.
10:24:15 2	density <b>A.</b>	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with,	10:27:04	2	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay. A. But we haven't explored that. Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you
10:24:15 <b>2</b> 10:24:17 <b>3</b> 10:24:20 <b>4</b>	density A. and we	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with, were trying to increase it somewhat and you	10:27:04 10:27:06	2	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay. A. But we haven't explored that. Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you used 20, I think?
10:24:15 <b>2</b> 10:24:17 <b>3</b> 10:24:20 <b>4</b> 10:24:29 <b>5</b>	density A. and we can buy	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with, were trying to increase it somewhat and you 2.85 and adjust it. So we didn't feel that	10:27:04 10:27:06 10:27:09	2 3 4	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay.  A. But we haven't explored that. Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you used 20, I think?  A. We tried 60 milligrams, and it just
10:24:15 <b>2</b> 10:24:17 <b>3</b> 10:24:20 <b>4</b> 10:24:29 <b>5</b> 10:24:33 <b>6</b>	A. and we can buy 2.81 vei	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with, were trying to increase it somewhat and you 2.85 and adjust it. So we didn't feel that sus 2.85 would make any difference between	10:27:04 10:27:06 10:27:09 10:27:11 10:27:19	2 3 4 5	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay.  A. But we haven't explored that. Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you used 20, I think?  A. We tried 60 milligrams, and it just completely overloaded the TEM grids.
10:24:15 <b>2</b> 10:24:17 <b>3</b> 10:24:20 <b>4</b> 10:24:29 <b>5</b> 10:24:39 <b>7</b>	A. and we can buy 2.81 ver separate	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with, were trying to increase it somewhat and you 2.85 and adjust it. So we didn't feel that sus 2.85 would make any difference between ing out tremolite versus talc.	10:27:04 10:27:06 10:27:09 10:27:11 10:27:19 10:27:21	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay.  A. But we haven't explored that. Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you used 20, I think?  A. We tried 60 milligrams, and it just completely overloaded the TEM grids. Q. Okay.
10:24:15 <b>2</b> 10:24:17 <b>3</b> 10:24:20 <b>4</b> 10:24:29 <b>5</b> 10:24:33 <b>6</b> 10:24:39 <b>7</b> 10:24:40 <b>8</b>	A. and we can buy 2.81 ver separati	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with, were trying to increase it somewhat and you 2.85 and adjust it. So we didn't feel that rous 2.85 would make any difference between any out tremolite versus talc.  In Blount's method in using the 2.81,	10:27:04 10:27:06 10:27:09 10:27:11 10:27:19 10:27:21 10:27:21	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay.  A. But we haven't explored that. Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you used 20, I think?  A. We tried 60 milligrams, and it just completely overloaded the TEM grids. Q. Okay.  A. So for PLM that's fine; not for TEM.
10:24:15 <b>2</b> 10:24:17 <b>3</b> 10:24:20 <b>4</b> 10:24:29 <b>5</b> 10:24:33 <b>6</b> 10:24:39 <b>7</b> 10:24:40 <b>8</b> 10:24:44 <b>9</b>	A. and we can buy 2.81 verseparate Q. there we	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with, were trying to increase it somewhat and you 2.85 and adjust it. So we didn't feel that sus 2.85 would make any difference between ing out tremolite versus talc.  In Blount's method in using the 2.81, build be no overlap with the density of	10:27:04 10:27:06 10:27:09 10:27:11 10:27:19 10:27:21 10:27:21	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay.  A. But we haven't explored that.  Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you used 20, I think?  A. We tried 60 milligrams, and it just completely overloaded the TEM grids.  Q. Okay.  A. So for PLM that's fine; not for TEM.  Q. Okay. Do you know what the difference in
10:24:15 <b>2</b> 10:24:17 <b>3</b> 10:24:20 <b>4</b> 10:24:29 <b>5</b> 10:24:39 <b>7</b> 10:24:40 <b>8</b> 10:24:44 <b>9</b> 10:24:46 <b>10</b>	A. and we can buy 2.81 ver separati Q. there we anthoph	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with, were trying to increase it somewhat and you 2.85 and adjust it. So we didn't feel that rous 2.85 would make any difference between ing out tremolite versus talc.  In Blount's method in using the 2.81, build be no overlap with the density of yllite; correct?	10:27:04 10:27:09 10:27:11 10:27:19 10:27:21 10:27:21 10:27:25 10:27:25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay.  A. But we haven't explored that. Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you used 20, I think?  A. We tried 60 milligrams, and it just completely overloaded the TEM grids. Q. Okay.  A. So for PLM that's fine; not for TEM. Q. Okay. Do you know what the difference in magnification between the TEM that you were using and
10:24:15 <b>2</b> 10:24:17 <b>3</b> 10:24:20 <b>4</b> 10:24:29 <b>5</b> 10:24:33 <b>6</b> 10:24:39 <b>7</b> 10:24:40 <b>8</b> 10:24:44 <b>9</b> 10:24:46 <b>10</b> 10:24:53 <b>11</b>	A. and we can buy 2.81 verseparati Q. there we anthoph	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with, were trying to increase it somewhat and you 2.85 and adjust it. So we didn't feel that sus 2.85 would make any difference between ing out tremolite versus talc.  In Blount's method in using the 2.81, ould be no overlap with the density of yllite; correct?  Let's see. I have to get it.	10:27:04 10:27:06 10:27:09 10:27:11 10:27:19 10:27:21 10:27:21 10:27:25 10:27:29 10:27:32	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay.  A. But we haven't explored that. Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you used 20, I think?  A. We tried 60 milligrams, and it just completely overloaded the TEM grids. Q. Okay.  A. So for PLM that's fine; not for TEM. Q. Okay. Do you know what the difference in magnification between the TEM that you were using and the optical microscope that Blount was using?
10:24:15 <b>2</b> 10:24:17 <b>3</b> 10:24:20 <b>4</b> 10:24:29 <b>5</b> 10:24:33 <b>6</b> 10:24:39 <b>7</b> 10:24:40 <b>8</b> 10:24:44 <b>9</b> 10:24:46 <b>10</b> 10:24:53 <b>11</b> 10:24:55 <b>12</b>	A. and we can buy 2.81 verseparati Q. there we anthoph A. Q.	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with, were trying to increase it somewhat and you 2.85 and adjust it. So we didn't feel that rous 2.85 would make any difference between ing out tremolite versus talc.  In Blount's method in using the 2.81, build be no overlap with the density of yllite; correct?  Let's see. I have to get it.  I think it's 2.84.	10:27:04 10:27:06 10:27:09 10:27:11 10:27:11 10:27:21 10:27:21 10:27:25 10:27:29 10:27:32	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay.  A. But we haven't explored that. Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you used 20, I think?  A. We tried 60 milligrams, and it just completely overloaded the TEM grids. Q. Okay.  A. So for PLM that's fine; not for TEM. Q. Okay. Do you know what the difference in magnification between the TEM that you were using and the optical microscope that Blount was using?  A. Well, she was doing polarized light, so
10:24:15	A. and we can buy 2.81 ver separati Q. there we anthoph A. Q. A.	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with, were trying to increase it somewhat and you 2.85 and adjust it. So we didn't feel that rous 2.85 would make any difference between ing out tremolite versus talc.  In Blount's method in using the 2.81, build be no overlap with the density of yllite; correct?  Let's see. I have to get it.  I think it's 2.84.  2.84. That's too close.	10:27:04 10:27:09 10:27:11 10:27:19 10:27:21 10:27:21 10:27:25 10:27:29 10:27:37 10:27:37	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay.  A. But we haven't explored that. Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you used 20, I think?  A. We tried 60 milligrams, and it just completely overloaded the TEM grids. Q. Okay.  A. So for PLM that's fine; not for TEM. Q. Okay. Do you know what the difference in magnification between the TEM that you were using and the optical microscope that Blount was using?  A. Well, she was doing polarized light, so that's typically around 400, 450. Some people will
10:24:15	A. and we can buy 2.81 verseparation Q. there we anthoph A. Q. A. Q.	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with, were trying to increase it somewhat and you 2.85 and adjust it. So we didn't feel that usus 2.85 would make any difference between any out tremolite versus talc.  In Blount's method in using the 2.81, and be no overlap with the density of yllite; correct?  Let's see. I have to get it.  I think it's 2.84.  2.84. That's too close.  So Blount's method, she would still have	10:27:04 10:27:06 10:27:09 10:27:11 10:27:19 10:27:21 10:27:21 10:27:25 10:27:32 10:27:32 10:27:40 10:27:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay.  A. But we haven't explored that. Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you used 20, I think?  A. We tried 60 milligrams, and it just completely overloaded the TEM grids. Q. Okay.  A. So for PLM that's fine; not for TEM. Q. Okay. Do you know what the difference in magnification between the TEM that you were using and the optical microscope that Blount was using?  A. Well, she was doing polarized light, so that's typically around 400, 450. Some people will do go up higher if they're doing dispersion
10:24:15	A. and we can buy 2.81 verseparati Q. there we anthoph A. Q. A. Q. interfere	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with, were trying to increase it somewhat and you 2.85 and adjust it. So we didn't feel that rous 2.85 would make any difference between ing out tremolite versus talc.  In Blount's method in using the 2.81, build be no overlap with the density of yllite; correct?  Let's see. I have to get it.  I think it's 2.84.  2.84. That's too close.  So Blount's method, she would still have ence from anthophyllite?	10:27:04 10:27:06 10:27:09 10:27:11 10:27:19 10:27:21 10:27:25 10:27:29 10:27:32 10:27:37 10:27:40 10:27:45 10:27:48	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay.  A. But we haven't explored that. Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you used 20, I think?  A. We tried 60 milligrams, and it just completely overloaded the TEM grids. Q. Okay.  A. So for PLM that's fine; not for TEM. Q. Okay. Do you know what the difference in magnification between the TEM that you were using and the optical microscope that Blount was using?  A. Well, she was doing polarized light, so that's typically around 400, 450. Some people will do go up higher if they're doing dispersion staining, even though that defeats the purpose. So
10:24:15	A. and we can buy 2.81 verseparate Q. there we anthoph A. Q. interfere A.	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with, were trying to increase it somewhat and you 2.85 and adjust it. So we didn't feel that rus 2.85 would make any difference between any out tremolite versus talc.  In Blount's method in using the 2.81, bould be no overlap with the density of yllite; correct?  Let's see. I have to get it.  I think it's 2.84.  2.84. That's too close.  So Blount's method, she would still have ence from anthophyllite?  In fact, she didn't find any	10:27:04 10:27:09 10:27:11 10:27:19 10:27:21 10:27:21 10:27:25 10:27:29 10:27:32 10:27:37 10:27:40 10:27:48 10:27:48	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay.  A. But we haven't explored that. Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you used 20, I think?  A. We tried 60 milligrams, and it just completely overloaded the TEM grids. Q. Okay.  A. So for PLM that's fine; not for TEM. Q. Okay. Do you know what the difference in magnification between the TEM that you were using and the optical microscope that Blount was using?  A. Well, she was doing polarized light, so that's typically around 400, 450. Some people will do go up higher if they're doing dispersion staining, even though that defeats the purpose. So typical polarized light microscopy, if you're using
10:24:15	density A. and we can buy 2.81 ver separati Q. there we anthoph A. Q. interfere A. anthoph	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with, were trying to increase it somewhat and you 2.85 and adjust it. So we didn't feel that rus 2.85 would make any difference between any out tremolite versus talc.  In Blount's method in using the 2.81, build be no overlap with the density of yllite; correct?  Let's see. I have to get it.  I think it's 2.84.  2.84. That's too close.  So Blount's method, she would still have ence from anthophyllite?  In fact, she didn't find any syllite.	10:27:04 10:27:06 10:27:09 10:27:11 10:27:21 10:27:21 10:27:25 10:27:29 10:27:32 10:27:37 10:27:40 10:27:46 10:27:48 10:27:53 10:27:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay.  A. But we haven't explored that. Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you used 20, I think?  A. We tried 60 milligrams, and it just completely overloaded the TEM grids. Q. Okay.  A. So for PLM that's fine; not for TEM. Q. Okay. Do you know what the difference in magnification between the TEM that you were using and the optical microscope that Blount was using?  A. Well, she was doing polarized light, so that's typically around 400, 450. Some people will do go up higher if they're doing dispersion staining, even though that defeats the purpose. So typical polarized light microscopy, if you're using dispersion aperture, 450, 500.
10:24:15	A. and we can buy 2.81 verseparati Q. there we anthoph A. Q. interfere A. anthoph	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with, were trying to increase it somewhat and you 2.85 and adjust it. So we didn't feel that rous 2.85 would make any difference between ing out tremolite versus talc.  In Blount's method in using the 2.81, build be no overlap with the density of yllite; correct?  Let's see. I have to get it.  I think it's 2.84.  2.84. That's too close.  So Blount's method, she would still have ence from anthophyllite?  In fact, she didn't find any syllite.  That was going to be my point. My	10:27:04 10:27:06 10:27:09 10:27:11 10:27:19 10:27:21 10:27:25 10:27:25 10:27:32 10:27:37 10:27:40 10:27:45 10:27:45 10:27:56 10:27:56	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay.  A. But we haven't explored that. Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you used 20, I think?  A. We tried 60 milligrams, and it just completely overloaded the TEM grids. Q. Okay.  A. So for PLM that's fine; not for TEM. Q. Okay. Do you know what the difference in magnification between the TEM that you were using and the optical microscope that Blount was using?  A. Well, she was doing polarized light, so that's typically around 400, 450. Some people will do go up higher if they're doing dispersion staining, even though that defeats the purpose. So typical polarized light microscopy, if you're using dispersion aperture, 450, 500.  Q. And your TEM?
10:24:15	density A. and we can buy 2.81 ver separati Q. there we anthoph A. Q. interfere A. anthoph Q. question	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with, were trying to increase it somewhat and you 2.85 and adjust it. So we didn't feel that rus 2.85 would make any difference between any out tremolite versus talc.  In Blount's method in using the 2.81, build be no overlap with the density of cyllite; correct?  Let's see. I have to get it.  I think it's 2.84.  2.84. That's too close.  So Blount's method, she would still have ence from anthophyllite?  In fact, she didn't find any cyllite.  That was going to be my point. My is why didn't you use a lower density	10:27:04 10:27:09 10:27:11 10:27:19 10:27:21 10:27:21 10:27:25 10:27:29 10:27:32 10:27:37 10:27:40 10:27:48 10:27:48 10:27:53 10:27:59 10:27:59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay.  A. But we haven't explored that. Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you used 20, I think?  A. We tried 60 milligrams, and it just completely overloaded the TEM grids. Q. Okay.  A. So for PLM that's fine; not for TEM. Q. Okay. Do you know what the difference in magnification between the TEM that you were using and the optical microscope that Blount was using?  A. Well, she was doing polarized light, so that's typically around 400, 450. Some people will do go up higher if they're doing dispersion staining, even though that defeats the purpose. So typical polarized light microscopy, if you're using dispersion aperture, 450, 500.  Q. And your TEM?  A. We routinely analyze those at 20,000.
10:24:15 2 10:24:17 3 10:24:20 4 10:24:29 5 10:24:33 6 10:24:39 7 10:24:40 8 10:24:46 10 10:24:53 11 10:24:57 13 10:24:59 14 10:25:02 15 10:25:04 16 10:25:08 17 10:25:08 18 10:25:15 19 10:25:26 20	A. and we can buy 2.81 verseparati Q. there we anthoph A. Q. interfere A. anthoph Q. question material	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with, were trying to increase it somewhat and you 2.85 and adjust it. So we didn't feel that rus 2.85 would make any difference between any out tremolite versus talc.  In Blount's method in using the 2.81, build be no overlap with the density of yllite; correct?  Let's see. I have to get it.  I think it's 2.84.  2.84. That's too close.  So Blount's method, she would still have ence from anthophyllite?  In fact, she didn't find any hyllite.  That was going to be my point. My is why didn't you use a lower density and therefore not have the issue that is	10:27:04 10:27:06 10:27:09 10:27:11 10:27:21 10:27:21 10:27:25 10:27:32 10:27:32 10:27:40 10:27:45 10:27:46 10:27:53 10:27:56 10:27:59 10:28:00 10:28:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay.  A. But we haven't explored that. Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you used 20, I think?  A. We tried 60 milligrams, and it just completely overloaded the TEM grids. Q. Okay.  A. So for PLM that's fine; not for TEM. Q. Okay. Do you know what the difference in magnification between the TEM that you were using and the optical microscope that Blount was using?  A. Well, she was doing polarized light, so that's typically around 400, 450. Some people will do go up higher if they're doing dispersion staining, even though that defeats the purpose. So typical polarized light microscopy, if you're using dispersion aperture, 450, 500.  Q. And your TEM?  A. We routinely analyze those at 20,000. Q. Okay. Is that 500 times? Math was not my
10:24:15	density A. and we can buy 2.81 ver separati Q. there wo anthoph A. Q. interfere A. anthoph Q. question material raised in	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with, were trying to increase it somewhat and you 2.85 and adjust it. So we didn't feel that rous 2.85 would make any difference between ing out tremolite versus talc.  In Blount's method in using the 2.81, build be no overlap with the density of yllite; correct?  Let's see. I have to get it.  I think it's 2.84.  2.84. That's too close.  So Blount's method, she would still have ence from anthophyllite?  In fact, she didn't find any hyllite.  That was going to be my point. My is why didn't you use a lower density and therefore not have the issue that is a your August 2nd report for finding	10:27:04 10:27:06 10:27:09 10:27:11 10:27:21 10:27:21 10:27:25 10:27:32 10:27:32 10:27:40 10:27:45 10:27:45 10:27:46 10:27:56 10:27:59 10:28:00 10:28:05	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay.  A. But we haven't explored that. Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you used 20, I think?  A. We tried 60 milligrams, and it just completely overloaded the TEM grids. Q. Okay.  A. So for PLM that's fine; not for TEM. Q. Okay. Do you know what the difference in magnification between the TEM that you were using and the optical microscope that Blount was using?  A. Well, she was doing polarized light, so that's typically around 400, 450. Some people will do go up higher if they're doing dispersion staining, even though that defeats the purpose. So typical polarized light microscopy, if you're using dispersion aperture, 450, 500.  Q. And your TEM?  A. We routinely analyze those at 20,000. Q. Okay. Is that 500 times? Math was not my strong suit.
10:24:15 2 10:24:17 3 10:24:29 5 10:24:39 7 10:24:40 8 10:24:44 9 10:24:46 10 10:24:55 12 10:24:57 13 10:24:59 14 10:25:02 15 10:25:04 16 10:25:08 17 10:25:08 18 10:25:15 19 10:25:26 20 10:25:34 22	A. and we can buy 2.81 verseparati Q. there we anthoph A. Q. interfere A. anthoph Q. question material	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with, were trying to increase it somewhat and you 2.85 and adjust it. So we didn't feel that rus 2.85 would make any difference between any out tremolite versus talc.  In Blount's method in using the 2.81, build be no overlap with the density of cyllite; correct?  Let's see. I have to get it.  I think it's 2.84.  2.84. That's too close.  So Blount's method, she would still have ence from anthophyllite?  In fact, she didn't find any cyllite.  That was going to be my point. My is why didn't you use a lower density and therefore not have the issue that is a your August 2nd report for finding cyllite?	10:27:04 10:27:09 10:27:11 10:27:21 10:27:21 10:27:21 10:27:25 10:27:29 10:27:32 10:27:37 10:27:40 10:27:48 10:27:48 10:27:53 10:27:59 10:28:00 10:28:11 10:28:13	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay.  A. But we haven't explored that. Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you used 20, I think?  A. We tried 60 milligrams, and it just completely overloaded the TEM grids. Q. Okay.  A. So for PLM that's fine; not for TEM. Q. Okay. Do you know what the difference in magnification between the TEM that you were using and the optical microscope that Blount was using?  A. Well, she was doing polarized light, so that's typically around 400, 450. Some people will do go up higher if they're doing dispersion staining, even though that defeats the purpose. So typical polarized light microscopy, if you're using dispersion aperture, 450, 500.  Q. And your TEM?  A. We routinely analyze those at 20,000. Q. Okay. Is that 500 times? Math was not my strong suit.  A. Let's see. Yes. Very good.
10:24:15	density A. and we can buy 2.81 ver separati Q. there wo anthoph A. Q. interfere A. anthoph Q. question material raised in	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with, were trying to increase it somewhat and you 2.85 and adjust it. So we didn't feel that rus 2.85 would make any difference between any out tremolite versus talc.  In Blount's method in using the 2.81, and be no overlap with the density of yllite; correct?  Let's see. I have to get it.  I think it's 2.84.  2.84. That's too close.  So Blount's method, she would still have ence from anthophyllite?  In fact, she didn't find any hyllite.  That was going to be my point. My a is why didn't you use a lower density and therefore not have the issue that is a your August 2nd report for finding yllite?  MR. MAIMON: Object to form.	10:27:04 10:27:06 10:27:09 10:27:11 10:27:21 10:27:21 10:27:25 10:27:32 10:27:32 10:27:40 10:27:45 10:27:46 10:27:53 10:27:56 10:27:59 10:28:00 10:28:05 10:28:11 10:28:13	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay.  A. But we haven't explored that. Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you used 20, I think?  A. We tried 60 milligrams, and it just completely overloaded the TEM grids. Q. Okay.  A. So for PLM that's fine; not for TEM. Q. Okay. Do you know what the difference in magnification between the TEM that you were using and the optical microscope that Blount was using?  A. Well, she was doing polarized light, so that's typically around 400, 450. Some people will do go up higher if they're doing dispersion staining, even though that defeats the purpose. So typical polarized light microscopy, if you're using dispersion aperture, 450, 500.  Q. And your TEM?  A. We routinely analyze those at 20,000. Q. Okay. Is that 500 times? Math was not my strong suit.  A. Let's see. Yes. Very good. Q. We shouldn't do this in our heads. At
10:24:15 2 10:24:17 3 10:24:29 5 10:24:39 7 10:24:40 8 10:24:44 9 10:24:46 10 10:24:55 12 10:24:57 13 10:24:59 14 10:25:02 15 10:25:04 16 10:25:08 17 10:25:08 18 10:25:15 19 10:25:26 20 10:25:34 22	density A. and we can buy 2.81 ver separati Q. there wo anthoph A. Q. interfere A. anthoph Q. question material raised in	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with, were trying to increase it somewhat and you 2.85 and adjust it. So we didn't feel that rus 2.85 would make any difference between any out tremolite versus talc.  In Blount's method in using the 2.81, build be no overlap with the density of cyllite; correct?  Let's see. I have to get it.  I think it's 2.84.  2.84. That's too close.  So Blount's method, she would still have ence from anthophyllite?  In fact, she didn't find any cyllite.  That was going to be my point. My is why didn't you use a lower density and therefore not have the issue that is a your August 2nd report for finding cyllite?	10:27:04 10:27:06 10:27:09 10:27:11 10:27:21 10:27:21 10:27:25 10:27:29 10:27:32 10:27:40 10:27:45 10:27:45 10:27:53 10:27:56 10:27:59 10:28:00 10:28:01 10:28:11 10:28:13	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay. A. But we haven't explored that. Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you used 20, I think? A. We tried 60 milligrams, and it just completely overloaded the TEM grids. Q. Okay. A. So for PLM that's fine; not for TEM. Q. Okay. Do you know what the difference in magnification between the TEM that you were using and the optical microscope that Blount was using? A. Well, she was doing polarized light, so that's typically around 400, 450. Some people will do go up higher if they're doing dispersion staining, even though that defeats the purpose. So typical polarized light microscopy, if you're using dispersion aperture, 450, 500. Q. And your TEM? A. We routinely analyze those at 20,000. Q. Okay. Is that 500 times? Math was not my strong suit. A. Let's see. Yes. Very good. Q. We shouldn't do this in our heads. At least I shouldn't.
10:24:15	density A. and we can buy 2.81 ver separati Q. there we anthoph A. Q. interfere A. anthoph Q. question material raised ir anthoph	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with, were trying to increase it somewhat and you 2.85 and adjust it. So we didn't feel that rus 2.85 would make any difference between any out tremolite versus talc.  In Blount's method in using the 2.81, and be no overlap with the density of yllite; correct?  Let's see. I have to get it.  I think it's 2.84.  2.84. That's too close.  So Blount's method, she would still have ence from anthophyllite?  In fact, she didn't find any hyllite.  That was going to be my point. My a is why didn't you use a lower density and therefore not have the issue that is a your August 2nd report for finding yllite?  MR. MAIMON: Object to form.	10:27:04 10:27:06 10:27:09 10:27:11 10:27:21 10:27:21 10:27:25 10:27:32 10:27:32 10:27:40 10:27:45 10:27:46 10:27:53 10:27:56 10:27:59 10:28:00 10:28:05 10:28:11 10:28:13	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay.  A. But we haven't explored that. Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you used 20, I think?  A. We tried 60 milligrams, and it just completely overloaded the TEM grids. Q. Okay.  A. So for PLM that's fine; not for TEM. Q. Okay. Do you know what the difference in magnification between the TEM that you were using and the optical microscope that Blount was using?  A. Well, she was doing polarized light, so that's typically around 400, 450. Some people will do go up higher if they're doing dispersion staining, even though that defeats the purpose. So typical polarized light microscopy, if you're using dispersion aperture, 450, 500.  Q. And your TEM?  A. We routinely analyze those at 20,000. Q. Okay. Is that 500 times? Math was not my strong suit.  A. Let's see. Yes. Very good. Q. We shouldn't do this in our heads. At
10:24:15	density A. and we can buy 2.81 ver separati Q. there we anthoph A. Q. interfere A. anthoph Q. question material raised in anthoph	of a 2.81, and you used a heavy liquid with a of 2.85. Why the difference?  The 2.81 is a little harder to deal with, were trying to increase it somewhat and you 2.85 and adjust it. So we didn't feel that rous 2.85 would make any difference between ing out tremolite versus talc.  In Blount's method in using the 2.81, build be no overlap with the density of yllite; correct?  Let's see. I have to get it.  I think it's 2.84.  2.84. That's too close.  So Blount's method, she would still have ence from anthophyllite?  In fact, she didn't find any hyllite.  That was going to be my point. My aris why didn't you use a lower density and therefore not have the issue that is a your August 2nd report for finding yllite?  MR. MAIMON: Object to form.  THE WITNESS: Because the slight	10:27:04 10:27:06 10:27:09 10:27:11 10:27:21 10:27:21 10:27:25 10:27:29 10:27:32 10:27:40 10:27:45 10:27:45 10:27:53 10:27:56 10:27:59 10:28:00 10:28:01 10:28:11 10:28:13	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Makes sense to me, but okay. A. But we haven't explored that. Q. Blount used a 60 milligram sample, you used 20, I think? A. We tried 60 milligrams, and it just completely overloaded the TEM grids. Q. Okay. A. So for PLM that's fine; not for TEM. Q. Okay. Do you know what the difference in magnification between the TEM that you were using and the optical microscope that Blount was using? A. Well, she was doing polarized light, so that's typically around 400, 450. Some people will do go up higher if they're doing dispersion staining, even though that defeats the purpose. So typical polarized light microscopy, if you're using dispersion aperture, 450, 500. Q. And your TEM? A. We routinely analyze those at 20,000. Q. Okay. Is that 500 times? Math was not my strong suit. A. Let's see. Yes. Very good. Q. We shouldn't do this in our heads. At least I shouldn't.

Ca	<del>se 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS - Document 9867</del>	<del>7-28 Fi</del>	<del>led 05/29/19 Page 5 of 6 PageID: 51781</del>
10,40,47 1	MR. KRASINSKI: Okay. I think that's all		
13:18:47	I have.		
13:18:49 <b>Z</b>	MR. COOPER: Just one more.		
4	FURTHER EXAMINATION		A .
13:18:51 <b>4</b> 13:18:53 <b>5</b>	BY MR. COOPER:		n Oh a O
13:18:53	Q. Obviously, this can had been opened		7 7 7 1000
13:18:57	before; right?		Atlanta Popular La
13:18:58	A. Yes, sir, as I testified earlier, it was		Actualita Reporters, Inc.
9	opened.		Georgia Certified / Court Reporters
13:19:03 10	Q. I missed it.		866-344-0459
13:19:04 11	A. Well, earlier in August.		
12	MR. COOPER: Okay. Thank you, sir.		
13:19:12 13	MR. MAIMON: One more question.		
13:19:12 14	FURTHER EXAMINATION	1	samples, and my answer is I have not analyzed all the
13:19:12 15	BY MR. MAIMON:	3	other samples. Q. Okay. That's what I thought your answer
13:19:12 16	<b>Q.</b> And the particle size distribution for the	5	Mas.  A. It was.  MR COOPER: Okay Thank you
13:19:16 17	bottle of Johnson's Baby Powder used in Project	7	MR. COOPER: Okay. Thank you. So I accept the asked and answered and I endorse it.
13:19:21 18	14-1852, was that consistent with the off-the-shelf	3 10	(Discussion off the record.) (Defendant's Exhibits 24 and 26 were
13:19:25 19	as well as the Simon Greenstone samples that had the	11   12	marked for identification.) FURTHER EXAMINATION
13:19:31 20	chain of custodies?	13	BY MR. KRASINSKI:  Q. Dr. Longo, have you ever done a time-weighted average for your below the waist
13:19:32 <b>21</b>	A. Yes.	15	gemonstration?
13:19:33 <b>22</b>	MR. MAIMON: Thank you. That's it.	]{	A. No, we did not do a time-weighted average. We just did a short-term sample duration.
13:19:38 23	FURTHER EXAMINATION	29	(Deposition concluded at 1:24 p.m.)
13:19:39 <b>24</b>	BY MR. COOPER:	<del>2</del> 2	MR. KRASINSKI: Okay.  (Deposition concluded at 1:24 p.m.) (Pursuant to Rule 30(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or OCGA 9-11-30(e), signature of the witness has been reserved.) (Original transcript sent to Mr. Frost.)
13:19:39 <b>25</b>	<b>Q</b> . I actually have one question in response	21 22 23 25	(Original transcript sent to Mr. Frost.)
	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com	23	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporter.com
	000		
	396		398
13:19:42	to that, and that is but the particle size	1	CERTIFICATE
13:19:42 <b>1</b> 13:19:44 <b>2</b>	to that, and that is but the particle size distribution doesn't necessarily tell you that this	1 2 3	
13:19:44 <b>2</b> 13:19:46 <b>3</b>	to that, and that is but the particle size distribution doesn't necessarily tell you that this isn't somebody else's cosmetic talc, does it?		CERTIFICATE
13:19:44 <b>2</b> 13:19:46 <b>3</b> 13:19:51 <b>4</b>	to that, and that is but the particle size distribution doesn't necessarily tell you that this isn't somebody else's cosmetic talc, does it? MR. MAIMON: Objection. Asked and		CERTIFICATE STATE OF GEORGIA:
13:19:44 <b>2</b> 13:19:46 <b>3</b> 13:19:51 <b>4</b> 13:19:52 <b>5</b>	to that, and that is but the particle size distribution doesn't necessarily tell you that this isn't somebody else's cosmetic talc, does it?  MR. MAIMON: Objection. Asked and answered.	2 3 4 5 6	C E R T I F I C A T E  STATE OF GEORGIA: COUNTY OF GWINNETT:  I hereby certify that the foregoing
13:19:44 <b>2</b> 13:19:46 <b>3</b> 13:19:51 <b>4</b> 13:19:52 <b>5</b> 13:19:54 <b>6</b>	to that, and that is but the particle size distribution doesn't necessarily tell you that this isn't somebody else's cosmetic talc, does it? MR. MAIMON: Objection. Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: The tremolite asbestos just	2 3 4 5 6 7	C E R T I F I C A T E  STATE OF GEORGIA: COUNTY OF GWINNETT:  I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the
13:19:44 <b>2</b> 13:19:46 <b>3</b> 13:19:51 <b>4</b> 13:19:52 <b>5</b> 13:19:54 <b>6</b> 13:19:56 <b>7</b>	to that, and that is but the particle size distribution doesn't necessarily tell you that this isn't somebody else's cosmetic talc, does it?  MR. MAIMON: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: The tremolite asbestos just on its own, no. The particle size distribution	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C E R T I F I C A T E  STATE OF GEORGIA: COUNTY OF GWINNETT:  I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers thereto
13:19:44 <b>2</b> 13:19:46 <b>3</b> 13:19:51 <b>4</b> 13:19:52 <b>5</b> 13:19:56 <b>7</b> 13:19:59 <b>8</b>	to that, and that is but the particle size distribution doesn't necessarily tell you that this isn't somebody else's cosmetic talc, does it?  MR. MAIMON: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: The tremolite asbestos just on its own, no. The particle size distribution that we have is, in my opinion, indicative that	2 3 4 5 6 7	CERTIFICATE  STATE OF GEORGIA: COUNTY OF GWINNETT:  I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to typewriting under my direction;
13:19:44 <b>2</b> 13:19:46 <b>3</b> 13:19:51 <b>4</b> 13:19:52 <b>5</b> 13:19:54 <b>6</b> 13:19:56 <b>7</b> 13:19:59 <b>8</b> 13:20:04 <b>9</b>	to that, and that is but the particle size distribution doesn't necessarily tell you that this isn't somebody else's cosmetic talc, does it?  MR. MAIMON: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: The tremolite asbestos just on its own, no. The particle size distribution	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C E R T I F I C A T E  STATE OF GEORGIA: COUNTY OF GWINNETT:  I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers thereto
13:19:44 <b>2</b> 13:19:46 <b>3</b> 13:19:51 <b>4</b> 13:19:52 <b>5</b> 13:19:54 <b>6</b> 13:19:56 <b>7</b> 13:19:59 <b>8</b>	to that, and that is but the particle size distribution doesn't necessarily tell you that this isn't somebody else's cosmetic talc, does it?  MR. MAIMON: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: The tremolite asbestos just on its own, no. The particle size distribution that we have is, in my opinion, indicative that this is from Johnson & Johnson, and it's all consistent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CERTIFICATE  STATE OF GEORGIA: COUNTY OF GWINNETT:  I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages 243 through 397 represent a true, complete, and correct transcript of the evidence given upon said
13:19:44	to that, and that is but the particle size distribution doesn't necessarily tell you that this isn't somebody else's cosmetic talc, does it?  MR. MAIMON: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: The tremolite asbestos just on its own, no. The particle size distribution that we have is, in my opinion, indicative that this is from Johnson & Johnson, and it's all consistent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CERTIFICATE  STATE OF GEORGIA: COUNTY OF GWINNETT:  I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages 243 through 397 represent a true, complete, and correct transcript of the evidence given upon said hearing, and I further certify that I am not of
13:19:44	to that, and that is but the particle size distribution doesn't necessarily tell you that this isn't somebody else's cosmetic talc, does it?  MR. MAIMON: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: The tremolite asbestos just on its own, no. The particle size distribution that we have is, in my opinion, indicative that this is from Johnson & Johnson, and it's all consistent.  Q. (By Mr. Cooper) And why is it different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CERTIFICATE  STATE OF GEORGIA: COUNTY OF GWINNETT:  I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages 243 through 397 represent a true, complete, and correct transcript of the evidence given upon said hearing, and I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel to the parties in the case; am
13:19:44	to that, and that is but the particle size distribution doesn't necessarily tell you that this isn't somebody else's cosmetic talc, does it?  MR. MAIMON: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: The tremolite asbestos just on its own, no. The particle size distribution that we have is, in my opinion, indicative that this is from Johnson & Johnson, and it's all consistent.  Q. (By Mr. Cooper) And why is it different from some other manufacturer's particle size	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CERTIFICATE  STATE OF GEORGIA: COUNTY OF GWINNETT:  I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages 243 through 397 represent a true, complete, and correct transcript of the evidence given upon said hearing, and I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the regular employ of counsel for any of
13:19:44 2 13:19:46 3 13:19:51 4 13:19:52 5 13:19:56 7 13:19:59 8 13:20:04 9 13:20:06 10 13:20:09 11 13:20:15 13	to that, and that is but the particle size distribution doesn't necessarily tell you that this isn't somebody else's cosmetic talc, does it?  MR. MAIMON: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: The tremolite asbestos just on its own, no. The particle size distribution that we have is, in my opinion, indicative that this is from Johnson & Johnson, and it's all consistent.  Q. (By Mr. Cooper) And why is it different from some other manufacturer's particle size distribution?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CERTIFICATE  STATE OF GEORGIA: COUNTY OF GWINNETT:  I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages 243 through 397 represent a true, complete, and correct transcript of the evidence given upon said hearing, and I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel to the parties in the case; am
13:19:44	to that, and that is but the particle size distribution doesn't necessarily tell you that this isn't somebody else's cosmetic talc, does it?  MR. MAIMON: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: The tremolite asbestos just on its own, no. The particle size distribution that we have is, in my opinion, indicative that this is from Johnson & Johnson, and it's all consistent.  Q. (By Mr. Cooper) And why is it different from some other manufacturer's particle size distribution?  A. I can't believe I can't find this stuff	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	STATE OF GEORGIA: COUNTY OF GWINNETT:  I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages 243 through 397 represent a true, complete, and correct transcript of the evidence given upon said hearing, and I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor am I in anywise interested in
13:19:44 2 13:19:46 3 13:19:51 4 13:19:52 5 13:19:56 7 13:19:59 8 13:20:06 10 13:20:09 11 13:20:12 12 13:20:15 13 13:21:30 14 13:21:33 15 13:21:45 16 13:21:46 17	to that, and that is but the particle size distribution doesn't necessarily tell you that this isn't somebody else's cosmetic talc, does it?  MR. MAIMON: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: The tremolite asbestos just on its own, no. The particle size distribution that we have is, in my opinion, indicative that this is from Johnson & Johnson, and it's all consistent.  Q. (By Mr. Cooper) And why is it different from some other manufacturer's particle size distribution?  A. I can't believe I can't find this stuff when I need to.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	STATE OF GEORGIA: COUNTY OF GWINNETT:  I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages 243 through 397 represent a true, complete, and correct transcript of the evidence given upon said hearing, and I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said case.
13:19:44 2 13:19:46 3 13:19:51 4 13:19:52 5 13:19:56 7 13:19:59 8 13:20:04 9 13:20:06 10 13:20:09 11 13:20:12 12 13:20:15 13 13:21:30 14 13:21:33 15 13:21:45 16	to that, and that is but the particle size distribution doesn't necessarily tell you that this isn't somebody else's cosmetic talc, does it?  MR. MAIMON: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: The tremolite asbestos just on its own, no. The particle size distribution that we have is, in my opinion, indicative that this is from Johnson & Johnson, and it's all consistent.  Q. (By Mr. Cooper) And why is it different from some other manufacturer's particle size distribution?  A. I can't believe I can't find this stuff when I need to.  Q. What are you looking for?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	STATE OF GEORGIA: COUNTY OF GWINNETT:  I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages 243 through 397 represent a true, complete, and correct transcript of the evidence given upon said hearing, and I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said case.
13:19:44 2 13:19:46 3 13:19:51 4 13:19:52 5 13:19:56 7 13:19:56 7 13:19:59 8 13:20:04 9 13:20:06 10 13:20:09 11 13:20:12 12 13:20:15 13 13:21:30 14 13:21:31 15 13:21:45 16 13:21:46 17 13:21:51 18 13:21:56 19	to that, and that is but the particle size distribution doesn't necessarily tell you that this isn't somebody else's cosmetic talc, does it?  MR. MAIMON: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: The tremolite asbestos just on its own, no. The particle size distribution that we have is, in my opinion, indicative that this is from Johnson & Johnson, and it's all consistent.  Q. (By Mr. Cooper) And why is it different from some other manufacturer's particle size distribution?  A. I can't believe I can't find this stuff when I need to.  Q. What are you looking for?  A. I'm looking for the industry paper of talc	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	STATE OF GEORGIA: COUNTY OF GWINNETT:  I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages 243 through 397 represent a true, complete, and correct transcript of the evidence given upon said hearing, and I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said case.  This, the 25th day of October 2017.
13:19:44 2 13:19:46 3 13:19:51 4 13:19:52 5 13:19:56 7 13:19:59 8 13:20:04 9 13:20:09 11 13:20:12 12 13:20:15 13 13:21:30 14 13:21:31 15 13:21:46 17 13:21:51 18 13:21:56 19 13:21:58 20	to that, and that is but the particle size distribution doesn't necessarily tell you that this isn't somebody else's cosmetic talc, does it?  MR. MAIMON: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: The tremolite asbestos just on its own, no. The particle size distribution that we have is, in my opinion, indicative that this is from Johnson & Johnson, and it's all consistent.  Q. (By Mr. Cooper) And why is it different from some other manufacturer's particle size distribution?  A. I can't believe I can't find this stuff when I need to.  Q. What are you looking for?  A. I'm looking for the industry paper of talc used in application cosmetic applications where they make a statement that says here's the size distribution, but manufacturers of these materials	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	STATE OF GEORGIA: COUNTY OF GWINNETT:  I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages 243 through 397 represent a true, complete, and correct transcript of the evidence given upon said hearing, and I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said case.  This, the 25th day of October 2017.
13:19:44 2 13:19:46 3 13:19:51 4 13:19:52 5 13:19:56 7 13:19:59 8 13:20:04 9 13:20:06 10 13:20:09 11 13:20:15 13 13:21:30 14 13:21:31 15 13:21:45 16 13:21:46 17 13:21:51 18 13:21:56 19 13:21:58 20 13:22:00 21	to that, and that is but the particle size distribution doesn't necessarily tell you that this isn't somebody else's cosmetic talc, does it?  MR. MAIMON: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: The tremolite asbestos just on its own, no. The particle size distribution that we have is, in my opinion, indicative that this is from Johnson & Johnson, and it's all consistent.  Q. (By Mr. Cooper) And why is it different from some other manufacturer's particle size distribution?  A. I can't believe I can't find this stuff when I need to.  Q. What are you looking for?  A. I'm looking for the industry paper of talc used in application cosmetic applications where they make a statement that says here's the size distribution, but manufacturers of these materials have different procedures, different size	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	STATE OF GEORGIA: COUNTY OF GWINNETT:  I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages 243 through 397 represent a true, complete, and correct transcript of the evidence given upon said hearing, and I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said case.  This, the 25th day of October 2017.
13:19:44 2 13:19:46 3 13:19:51 4 13:19:52 5 13:19:54 6 13:19:56 7 13:19:59 8 13:20:04 9 13:20:06 10 13:20:09 11 13:20:15 13 13:21:30 14 13:21:31 15 13:21:45 16 13:21:45 17 13:21:51 18 13:21:56 19 13:21:58 20 13:22:00 21 13:22:00 21	distribution doesn't necessarily tell you that this isn't somebody else's cosmetic talc, does it?  MR. MAIMON: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: The tremolite asbestos just on its own, no. The particle size distribution that we have is, in my opinion, indicative that this is from Johnson & Johnson, and it's all consistent.  Q. (By Mr. Cooper) And why is it different from some other manufacturer's particle size distribution?  A. I can't believe I can't find this stuff when I need to.  Q. What are you looking for?  A. I'm looking for the industry paper of talc used in application cosmetic applications where they make a statement that says here's the size distribution, but manufacturers of these materials have different procedures, different size distributions, et cetera.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	STATE OF GEORGIA: COUNTY OF GWINNETT:  I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages 243 through 397 represent a true, complete, and correct transcript of the evidence given upon said hearing, and I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said case.  This, the 25th day of October 2017.
13:19:44 2 13:19:46 3 13:19:51 4 13:19:52 5 13:19:56 7 13:19:59 8 13:20:04 9 13:20:09 11 13:20:12 12 13:20:15 13 13:21:30 14 13:21:31 15 13:21:46 17 13:21:51 18 13:21:56 19 13:21:58 20 13:22:00 21 13:22:03 22 13:22:05 23	to that, and that is but the particle size distribution doesn't necessarily tell you that this isn't somebody else's cosmetic talc, does it?  MR. MAIMON: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: The tremolite asbestos just on its own, no. The particle size distribution that we have is, in my opinion, indicative that this is from Johnson & Johnson, and it's all consistent.  Q. (By Mr. Cooper) And why is it different from some other manufacturer's particle size distribution?  A. I can't believe I can't find this stuff when I need to.  Q. What are you looking for?  A. I'm looking for the industry paper of talc used in application cosmetic applications where they make a statement that says here's the size distribution, but manufacturers of these materials have different procedures, different size distributions, et cetera.  It's just an indication. So the question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	STATE OF GEORGIA: COUNTY OF GWINNETT:  I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages 243 through 397 represent a true, complete, and correct transcript of the evidence given upon said hearing, and I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said case.  This, the 25th day of October 2017.
13:19:44 2 13:19:46 3 13:19:51 4 13:19:52 5 13:19:56 7 13:19:59 8 13:20:04 9 13:20:09 11 13:20:12 12 13:20:15 13 13:21:30 14 13:21:31 15 13:21:45 16 13:21:46 17 13:21:51 18 13:21:56 19 13:21:58 20 13:22:00 21 13:22:00 21 13:22:01 24	to that, and that is but the particle size distribution doesn't necessarily tell you that this isn't somebody else's cosmetic talc, does it?  MR. MAIMON: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: The tremolite asbestos just on its own, no. The particle size distribution that we have is, in my opinion, indicative that this is from Johnson & Johnson, and it's all consistent.  Q. (By Mr. Cooper) And why is it different from some other manufacturer's particle size distribution?  A. I can't believe I can't find this stuff when I need to.  Q. What are you looking for?  A. I'm looking for the industry paper of talc used in application cosmetic applications where they make a statement that says here's the size distribution, but manufacturers of these materials have different procedures, different size distributions, et cetera.  It's just an indication. So the question is you don't know that somebody else made the exact	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	STATE OF GEORGIA: COUNTY OF GWINNETT:  I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages 243 through 397 represent a true, complete, and correct transcript of the evidence given upon said hearing, and I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said case.  This, the 25th day of October 2017.
13:19:44 2 13:19:46 3 13:19:51 4 13:19:52 5 13:19:56 7 13:19:59 8 13:20:04 9 13:20:09 11 13:20:12 12 13:20:15 13 13:21:30 14 13:21:31 15 13:21:46 17 13:21:51 18 13:21:56 19 13:21:58 20 13:22:00 21 13:22:03 22 13:22:05 23	to that, and that is but the particle size distribution doesn't necessarily tell you that this isn't somebody else's cosmetic talc, does it?  MR. MAIMON: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: The tremolite asbestos just on its own, no. The particle size distribution that we have is, in my opinion, indicative that this is from Johnson & Johnson, and it's all consistent.  Q. (By Mr. Cooper) And why is it different from some other manufacturer's particle size distribution?  A. I can't believe I can't find this stuff when I need to.  Q. What are you looking for?  A. I'm looking for the industry paper of talc used in application cosmetic applications where they make a statement that says here's the size distribution, but manufacturers of these materials have different procedures, different size distributions, et cetera.  It's just an indication. So the question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	STATE OF GEORGIA: COUNTY OF GWINNETT:  I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages 243 through 397 represent a true, complete, and correct transcript of the evidence given upon said hearing, and I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said case.  This, the 25th day of October 2017.

Ca	se 2:16 md 02738 MAS DLS - Decument 0867	<del>-28 Filed 05/29/19 Page 6 of 6 PageID: 51782</del>
1	COURT REPORTER DISCLOSURE	-20 Tilled 03/23/19 Tage 0 01 0 Tage 1D. 31/02
•		
2	Pursuant to Article 10.B. of the Rules and	401
3	Regulations of the Board of Court Reporting of the	1 DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL
4	Judicial Council of Georgia which states: "Each court reporter shall tender a disclosure form at the time	2 Page No Line No should read:
	of the taking of the deposition stating the	3
5	arrangements made for the reporting services of the certified court reporter, by the certified court	Page No Line No should read:
6	reporter, the court reporter's employer, or the	
7	referral source for the deposition, with any party to the litigation, counsel to the parties or other	
	entity. Such form shall be attached to the	6 _ Page No Line No should read:
8	deposition transcript," I make the following disclosure:	7
9		8  Page No Line No should read:
10	I am a Georgia Certified Court Reporter. I am here as a representative of Atlanta Reporters, Inc.	9 Page No Line No should read:
10	Atlanta Reporters was contacted by Drinker, Biddle &	10
11	Reath, LLP, to provide court reporting services for the deposition. Atlanta Reporters will not be taking	11 Page No Line No should read:
12	this deposition under any contract that is prohibited	12 Page No Line No should read:
13	by OCGA 15-14-37(a) and (b).	13 Line No Should read
13	Atlanta Reporters has no contract/agreement to	14
14	provide reporting services with any party to the	If supplemental or additional pages are necessary, 15 please furnish same in typewriting annexed to this
15	case, any counsel in the case, or any reporter or reporting agency from whom a referral might have been	deposition . 16
40	made to cover this deposition. Atlanta Reporters	17
16	will charge its usual and customary rates to all parties in the case, and a financial discount will	WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD 18
17	not be given to any party to this litigation.	Sworn to and subscribed before me,
18		This, the day of 20
		21 Notary Public
19		My commission expires:
20	DEDDA D. LUTUED. D. 001	23
21	DEBRA R. LUTHER, B-881 Georgia Certified Court Reporter	24
20		25
22 23		25
24 25		Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
24 25	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25	400	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25	400	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2	I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that:	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4	I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that:  1) There are no changes noted.	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4 5	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that: 1) There are no changes noted2) The following changes are noted:	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that: 1) There are no changes noted2) The following changes are noted:  Pursuant to Rule 30(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or the Official Code of Georgia	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4 5	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that: 1) There are no changes noted2) The following changes are noted:  Pursuant to Rule 30(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or the Official Code of Georgia Annotated 9-11-30(e), both of which read in part:	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4 5	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that: 1) There are no changes noted2) The following changes are noted:  Pursuant to Rule 30(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or the Official Code of Georgia Annotated 9-11-30(e), both of which read in part: Any changes in form or substance which you desire to make shall be entered upon the depositionwith a	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that: 1) There are no changes noted2) The following changes are noted:  Pursuant to Rule 30(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or the Official Code of Georgia Annotated 9-11-30(e), both of which read in part: Any changes in form or substance which you desire to make shall be entered upon the depositionwith a statement of the reasons givenfor making them.	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that: 1) There are no changes noted2) The following changes are noted:  Pursuant to Rule 30(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or the Official Code of Georgia Annotated 9-11-30(e), both of which read in part: Any changes in form or substance which you desire to make shall be entered upon the depositionwith a	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that:	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that:	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that:	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that:	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that:	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that:	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that:	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that: 1) There are no changes noted2) The following changes are noted:  Pursuant to Rule 30(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or the Official Code of Georgia Annotated 9-11-30(e), both of which read in part: Any changes in form or substance which you desire to make shall be entered upon the depositionwith a statement of the reasons givenfor making them. Accordingly, to assist you in effecting corrections, please use the form below:  Page No Line No should read:  Page No Line No should read:	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that: 1) There are no changes noted2) The following changes are noted:  Pursuant to Rule 30(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or the Official Code of Georgia Annotated 9-11-30(e), both of which read in part: Any changes in form or substance which you desire to make shall be entered upon the depositionwith a statement of the reasons givenfor making them. Accordingly, to assist you in effecting corrections, please use the form below:  Page No Line No should read:  Page No Line No should read:	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that: 1) There are no changes noted2) The following changes are noted:  Pursuant to Rule 30(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or the Official Code of Georgia Annotated 9-11-30(e), both of which read in part: Any changes in form or substance which you desire to make shall be entered upon the depositionwith a statement of the reasons givenfor making them. Accordingly, to assist you in effecting corrections, please use the form below:  Page No Line No should read:	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that:	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that: 1) There are no changes noted2) The following changes are noted:  Pursuant to Rule 30(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or the Official Code of Georgia Annotated 9-11-30(e), both of which read in part: Any changes in form or substance which you desire to make shall be entered upon the depositionwith a statement of the reasons givenfor making them. Accordingly, to assist you in effecting corrections, please use the form below:  Page No Line No should read:	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that: 1) There are no changes noted2) The following changes are noted:  Pursuant to Rule 30(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or the Official Code of Georgia Annotated 9-11-30(e), both of which read in part: Any changes in form or substance which you desire to make shall be entered upon the depositionwith a statement of the reasons givenfor making them. Accordingly, to assist you in effecting corrections, please use the form below:  Page No Line No should read:	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that: 1) There are no changes noted2) The following changes are noted:  Pursuant to Rule 30(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or the Official Code of Georgia Annotated 9-11-30(e), both of which read in part: Any changes in form or substance which you desire to make shall be entered upon the depositionwith a statement of the reasons givenfor making them. Accordingly, to assist you in effecting corrections, please use the form below:  Page No Line No should read:  Page No Line No should read:	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that: 1) There are no changes noted2) The following changes are noted:  Pursuant to Rule 30(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or the Official Code of Georgia Annotated 9-11-30(e), both of which read in part: Any changes in form or substance which you desire to make shall be entered upon the depositionwith a statement of the reasons givenfor making them. Accordingly, to assist you in effecting corrections, please use the form below:  Page No Line No should read:	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that:	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL  I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 24th day of October 2017, taken before Debra R. Luther, and that: 1) There are no changes noted2) The following changes are noted:  Pursuant to Rule 30(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or the Official Code of Georgia Annotated 9-11-30(e), both of which read in part: Any changes in form or substance which you desire to make shall be entered upon the depositionwith a statement of the reasons givenfor making them. Accordingly, to assist you in effecting corrections, please use the form below:  Page No Line No should read:  Page No Line No should read:	Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com

25

Atlanta Reporters, Inc. 866-344-0459 www.atlanta-reporters.com